

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/675,692		09/29/2000	Ashraf A. Michail	MS1-676US	1488
22801	7590	07/25/2005		EXAMINER	
LEE & HA			CAMPBELL, JOSHUA D		
	RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 .NE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	•			2178	
				DATE MAIL ED: 07/25/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/675,692	MICHAIL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Joshua D. Campbell	2178					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							

Application/Control Number: 09/675,692 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment filed on 06/13/2004.

2. Claims 1-10 and 12-27 are pending in this case. Claims 1, 10, 17, and 22 are independent claims. Claims 1, 10, 17, and 22 have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-10 and 12-27 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neibauer (The ABCs of WordPerfect 8, published in 1997) in view of Prinzing (US Patent Number 6,480,206, filed on February 24, 1998).

4. Regarding independent claim 1, Neibauer discloses a method in which an interface to operate a function (extension) used to highlight sections of text (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer). Neibauer does not disclose a method in which the non-visual and visual aspects of the highlight are determined by separate extensions. However, Prinzing discloses a method in which extensions (style objects) of an editor generates visual objects (control visual aspects) and associates them with objects in a document in a text editor, which controls the non-visual aspects (column 2, line 55-column 4, line 14 of Prinzing). Prinzing also discloses a development kit can be used to modularly apply style changes (highlights) using view objects (designers) received from an interface to text elements (content) in a document in a text editor, allowing text

Art Unit: 2178

elements to remain unchanged (column 3, lines 17-53 of Prinzing). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the methods of Neibauer with the methods of Prinzing because it would have allowed for the addition of functionality to an editor without significant modifications to original code.

- 5. **Regarding dependent claim 2,** Neibauer discloses a method in which the interface contains options to change the color and shading of the highlighting (style) (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer).
- 6. Regarding dependent claim 3, Neibauer discloses a method in which text may be highlighted by selecting a point at the beginning of the section to be highlighted and then deselecting at the end of the section to be highlighted, at which point it is highlighted (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer). This act temporarily highlights the text in a block providing a user with a view of the start and end points (two display pointers) (Page 29-30, "Selecting Text" of Neibauer).
- Regarding dependent claim 4, Neibauer discloses a method in which text may be highlighted by selecting a point at the beginning of the section to be highlighted and then deselecting at the end of the section to be highlighted, at which point it is highlighted (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer). This act temporarily highlights the text in a block providing a user with a view of the start and end points (two display pointers) (Page 29-30, "Selecting Text" of Neibauer). Neibauer also discloses a method in which the interface contains options to change the color and shading of the highlighting (style) (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer).

Art Unit: 2178

8. **Regarding dependent claim 5,** Neibauer discloses a method in which the user may redefine the text contained within a highlight segment (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer). Neibauer does not disclose a method in which different extensions may cause different visual aspects. However, Prinzing discloses a method in which the styles objects (extensions) can cause different visual objects (column 1, line 52-column 2, line 9 of Prinzing). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the methods of Neibauer with the methods of Prinzing because it would have allowed for the addition of functionality to an editor without significant modifications to original code.

- 9. **Regarding dependent claim 6, N**eibauer discloses a method in which the user may redefine the color and shading (style) within a highlight segment (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer).
- 10. **Regarding dependent claim 7,** Neibauer discloses a method in which the user may remove highlighting from a segment (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer).
- 11. **Regarding dependent claim 8,** Neibauer discloses a method in which an interface contains options to change (control) the color and shading of the highlighting (style) (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer).
- 12. **Regarding dependent claim 9,** Neibauer discloses a method in which an interface contains options to change (control) the color and shading of the highlighting (style), allowing the user to change one segment, instead of all of them (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer).

Art Unit: 2178

13. Regarding independent claim 10, Neibauer discloses a method in which an interface to operate a function used to highlight sections of text (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer). Neibauer does not disclose a method in which a designer is used to receive the parameter or return the values to the designer regarding the state of the highlighted segment. Neibauer does not disclose a method in which an extension of an extensible editor is used to perform this action. However, Prinzing discloses a method in which extensions (style objects) of an editor generates visual objects (control visual aspects) and associates them with objects in a document in a text editor, which controls the non-visual aspects (column 2, line 55-column 4, line 14 of Prinzing). Prinzing also discloses a development kit can be used to modularly apply style changes (highlights) using view objects (extensions) received from an interface to a document in a text editor (column 3, lines 17-53 of Prinzing). The interface can determine and edit styles of any text, so it is inherent that values based on the state of all text, including highlighted segments are communicated between the development kit and the text editor (column 3, lines 6-16 of Prinzing). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the methods of Neibauer and Prinzing because it would have allowed the addition of new functionality without rewriting the entire editor.

Page 5

14. **Regarding dependent claims 12-16,** the claims are the medium correlated with storing the method of claims 3-7. Thus, the claims are rejected along the same rationale as claims 3-7

Art Unit: 2178

15. Regarding independent claim 17, Neibauer discloses a method in which an interface to operate a function used to highlight sections of text when requested (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer). Neibauer does not disclose a method in which an extension of an extensible editor is used to perform this action. However, Prinzing discloses a method in which extensions (style objects) of an editor generates visual objects (control visual aspects) and associates them with objects in a document in a text editor, which controls the non-visual aspects (column 2, line 55-column 4, line 14 of Prinzing). Prinzing also discloses a development kit can be used to modularly apply style changes (highlights) using view objects (extensions) received from an interface to a document in a text editor (column 3, lines 17-53 of Prinzing). The interface can determine and edit styles of any text, so it is inherent that values based on the state of all text, including highlighted segments are communicated between the development kit and the text editor (column 3, lines 6-16 of Prinzing). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the methods of Neibauer and Prinzing because it would have allowed the addition of new functionality without rewriting the entire editor.

Page 6

16. **Regarding dependent claim 18,** Neibauer discloses a method in which an interface contains options to change (control) the color and shading of the highlighting (style) (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer). Neibauer does not disclose a method in which an extension of an extensible editor is used to perform this action. However, Prinzing discloses a development kit can be used to modularly (extension) apply style changes (highlights) received from an interface to a document in a text editor

Art Unit: 2178

(column 3, lines 17-53 of Prinzing). The interface can determine and edit (control) styles of any text, so it is inherent that values based on the state of all text, including highlighted segments are communicated between the development kit and the text editor (column 3, lines 6-16 of Prinzing). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the methods of Neibauer and Prinzing because it would have allowed the addition of new functionality without rewriting the entire editor.

- 17. **Regarding dependent claim 19-21,** the claims are the medium correlated with storing the method of claims 2-7. Thus, the claims are rejected along the same rationale as claims 2-7
- 18. **Regarding independent claim 22,** Neibauer discloses a method in which an interface to operate a function (extension) used to highlight sections of text (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer). Neibauer does not disclose a method in which a designer interface is used to transfer data between designers and the editor or an event routing controller. However, Prinzing discloses a method in which extensions (style objects) of an editor generates visual objects (control visual aspects) and associates them with objects in a document in a text editor, which controls the non-visual aspects (column 2, line 55-column 4, line 14 of Prinzing). Prinzing also discloses a development kit can be used to modularly apply style changes (highlights) using view objects (designers) received from an interface to text elements (content) in a document in a text editor, allowing text elements to remain unchanged (column 3, lines 17-53 of Prinzing). Prinzing discloses a method in which a development kit routes the editing actions

Art Unit: 2178

(events), which includes transfer of data, to the different view objects to properly format the text elements (column 4, lines 15-44 of Prinzing). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the methods of Neibauer and Prinzing because it would have allowed the addition of new functionality without rewriting the entire editor.

- 19. **Regarding dependent claim 23,** Neibauer discloses a method in which an interface contains options to change (control) the color and shading of the highlighting (style) (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer). Neibauer does not disclose a method in which an extension of an extensible editor is used to perform this action. However, Prinzing discloses a development kit (designer) can be used to modularly apply style changes (highlights) received from an interface to a document in a text editor (column 3, lines 17-53 of Prinzing). The interface can determine and edit (control) styles of any text, so it is inherent that values based on the state of all text, including highlighted segments are communicated between the development kit and the text editor (column 3, lines 6-16 of Prinzing). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the methods of Neibauer and Prinzing because it would have allowed the addition of new functionality without rewriting the entire editor.
- 20. **Regarding dependent claims 24 and 26,** the claims are the medium correlated with storing the method of claims 2-7. Thus, the claims are rejected along the same rationale as claims 2-7

Application/Control Number: 09/675,692 Page 9

Art Unit: 2178

21. **Regarding dependent claim 25,** Neibauer discloses a method in which the user may redefine the text contained within a highlight segment and the style of the highlight segment (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer).

22. Regarding dependent claim 27, Neibauer discloses a method in which an interface to operate a function (extension) used to highlight sections of text (Page 89-90, "Highlighting Text" of Neibauer). Neibauer does not disclose a method in which a designer interface is used to transfer data between designers and the editor or an event routing controller. However, Prinzing discloses a development kit can be used to modularly apply style changes (highlights) using view objects (designers) received from an interface to text elements (content) in a document in a text editor, allowing text elements to remain unchanged (column 3, lines 17-53 of Prinzing). Prinzing discloses a method in which a development kit routes the editing actions (events), which includes transfer of data, to the different view objects to properly format the text elements, when added formatting is removed it is returned to it's original formatting (column 4, lines 15-44 of Prinzing). It would have been inherent that type checking occurs to make sure the text objects are still viewable by the original editor that created them. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the methods of Neibauer and Prinzing because it would have allowed the addition of new functionality without rewriting the entire editor.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/675,692 Page 10

Art Unit: 2178

23. Applicant's arguments filed 6/13/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the arguments on pages 10-13, regarding claims 1, 10, 17, and 22; Prinzing shows that formatting including color (i.e. highlighting) can be applied using an extension of an editor, as disclosed in the rejection. Prinzing discloses that different parameters are used to change the format of different sections (characters, words, paragraphs, etc.) (column 1, line 52-column 2, line 10 of Prinzing). Examiner agrees with the applicant that a user makes the decisions on what is to be highlighted, and the extension acts as an interface for that user in the method of Prinzing. However, the examiner notes that the sections of the applicant's specification to justify the amendments state the same reasoning (page 3, lines 1-10 and page 42, lines 5-6 also see page 2, lines 10-16 of applicant's specification). In all of these citations the extensions are spoke of as an interface that "provide a rich editing experience," with a user performing the editing. The editor extension does not operate independently of a user in an automatic fashion, or it is at least unclear where the information may be found in the specification or the claims to support that interpretation of the functionality of the applicant's invention. The examiner will interpret the statement "... an editor extension to control which section of an electronic document is highlighted..." to mean an editor extension acts as an interface which a user uses in order to control editing, the extension controlling the editing intermediately. The examiner agrees that Prinzing discloses a plug-in method, but notes that plug-ins by definition are known extensions of extensible programs. Thus, Neibauer shows the abilities often attached to highlighting

Art Unit: 2178

tools, while Prinzing shows that formatting tools like Neibauer can be extensible in

nature, and shows the functionality of an editor extension.

Conclusion

24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua D. Campbell whose telephone number is (571) 272-4133. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 AM - 4:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JDC July 15, 2005 ŠTEPHEN HONG VISORY PATENT EXAMINER